

GROUNDED EXPERTISE

Allam Property Group PO Box 7385 Baulkham Hills, NSW 2153 Project 219536.00 22 November 2024 R.009.Rev0 JCL:kd

Attention: Stephanie Vanderent

Email: stephanie.vanderent@allam.com.au

# Results of Data Logger Download – November 2024 Proposed Manufactured Housing Estate 40-80 & 82 Chapmans Road, Tuncurry NSW

This letter presents the August 2024 results of groundwater monitoring at 40-80 & 82 Chapmans Road Tuncurry, NSW. It is understood the project is currently split into two stages i.e. 40-80 Chapmans Road ('Stage 1') and 82 Chapmans Road ('Stage 2').

The current monitoring round included installation of a new groundwater monitoring well in the north eastern area of Stage 1, and routine download of dataloggers at Stage 1 and Stage 2.

Details regarding the location of the groundwater wells (MW1 to MW4 and 101 to 104), together with their construction and associated subsurface conditions are presented in previous reports:

- Douglas Partners Pty Ltd (Douglas) Report on Groundwater Study, Proposed Manufactured Housing Estate, 40-80 Chapmans Road, Tuncurry (Douglas, 2024); and
- Douglas Report on Preliminary Groundwater Investigation, Proposed Manufactured Home Estate, 82 Chapmans Road, Tuncurry (Douglas, 2023).

The location of existing monitoring wells is shown in Drawing 1, attached.

# Groundwater monitoring

Dataloggers were installed in the wells for ongoing monitoring for assessment and comment on short-term and long-term trends in groundwater levels such of groundwater fluctuations.

The current round of monitoring was undertaken on 5 November 2024 and comprised manual gauging of the groundwater monitoring wells and datalogger download. It should be noted that groundwater levels are affected by factors such as climatic conditions and soil permeability and therefore vary with time.

The following summary is provided for data collection over the monitoring period.

rable in Sammary of groundwater monitoring						
Logger ID	Brand	Owned by	Capture	Data Quality	Comment	
MW1	Aquaread	Client	100%	Good	Loan logger, removed November 2024.	
MW3	Aquaread	Client	100%	Good	-	
MW4	Aquaread	Client	100%	Good	-	
101	Aquaread	Client	100%	Good	-	
102	Aquaread	Client	100%	Good	-	
103	Aquaread	Client	100%	Good	-	
104	Aquaread	Client	100%	Good	-	
105	Aquaread	Client	100%	Good	_	

# Table 1: Summary of groundwater monitoring – 14 August 2024 to 5 November 2024

For rainfall up to 5 February 2024 the data is plotted against composited rainfall data from five Bureau of Meteorology (BOM) weather stations at (Wootton, Bungwahl, Old Bar, Forster and Taree Airport). On 6 February 2024 a site rainfall gauge was installed by ADW Johnson and therefore from 6 February 2024 onwards the data is plotted against site rainfall gauge.

The results of the current monitoring event (August 2024) suggest that loggers MW3, MW4 and 101 to 105 are currently collecting data that is generally consistent with manual monitoring records, previous data values and typically expected trends.

A loan logger was installed in MW1 during this monitoring period only. Comparison of the groundwater level recorded at MW1 to groundwater level recorded at 105 indicates groundwater level at 105 is similar to groundwater level at MW1 (i.e. 105 is a suitable long term replacement for MW1).

Continued quarterly monitoring is recommended to supplement the existing data. Quarterly cleaning / maintenance program is also recommended based on advice provided by the logger suppliers to maximise the life of the logger and integrity of the data collection. Annotations regarding periods of probable erroneous data and data loss periods are provided on the corresponding graphs.

This letter should be read in conjunction with the previous reports by Douglas including *Report* on *Groundwater Study* (Douglas, 2024) and *Report on Preliminary Groundwater Investigation* (Douglas, 2023) which presents the relevant background information for Stage 1 and Stage 2 respectively.



# References

Douglas. (2023). Report on Preliminary Groundwater Investigation, Proposed Manufactured Home Estate, 82 Chapmans Road, Tuncurry . Douglas Partners Pty Ltd: Document No. 219536.01.R.001.Rev0.

Douglas. (2024). Report on Groundwater Study, Proposed Manufactured Housing Estate, 40-80 Chapmans Road, Tuncurry. Document No. 219536.00.R.002.Rev3: Douglas Partners Pty Ltd.

# Limitations

Douglas Partners Pty Ltd (Douglas) has prepared this report for this project at 40-80 & 82 Chapmans Road, Tuncurry in line with Douglas' proposal 219536.00.P.003.Rev0 (09/04/2024), 219536.00.P.004.Rev1 (31/07/2024) and 219536.01.P.002.Rev0 (09/04/2024) acceptance received from Allam MHE Developments No 2 Pty Ltd dated 09/05/2024, 02/08/2024 and 09/05/2024 respectively. The work was carried out under Douglas' Engagement Terms. This report is provided for the exclusive use of Allam MHE Developments No 2 Pty Ltd for this project only and for the purposes as described in the report. It should not be used by or relied upon for other projects or purposes on the same or other site or by a third party. Any party so relying upon this report beyond its exclusive use and purpose as stated above, and without the express written consent of Douglas, does so entirely at its own risk and without recourse to Douglas for any loss or damage. In preparing this report Douglas has necessarily relied upon information provided by the client and/or their agents.

The results provided in the report are indicative of the sub-surface conditions on the site only at the specific sampling and/or testing locations, and then only to the depths investigated and at the time the work was carried out. Sub-surface conditions can change abruptly due to variable geological processes and also as a result of human influences. Such changes may occur after Douglas' field testing has been completed.

Douglas' advice is based upon the conditions encountered during this investigation. The accuracy of the advice provided by Douglas in this report may be affected by undetected variations in ground conditions across the site between and beyond the sampling and/or testing locations. The advice may also be limited by budget constraints imposed by others or by site accessibility.

The assessment of atypical safety hazards arising from this advice is restricted to the groundwater components set out in this report and based on known project conditions and stated design advice and assumptions. While some recommendations for safe controls may be provided, detailed 'safety in design' assessment is outside the current scope of this report and requires additional project data and assessment.

This report must be read in conjunction with all of the attached and should be kept in its entirety without separation of individual pages or sections. Douglas cannot be held responsible for interpretations or conclusions made by others unless they are supported by an expressed statement, interpretation, outcome or conclusion stated in this report.

This report, or sections from this report, should not be used as part of a specification for a project, without review and agreement by Douglas. This is because this report has been written as advice and opinion rather than instructions for construction.



Please contact the undersigned if you have any questions on this matter.

Yours faithfully

**Douglas Partners Pty Ltd** 

P/L

Jason Lambert Geotechnical Engineer

Attachments: About This Report Terminology, symbols and abbreviations Soil descriptions Sampling, testing and excavation methodology Groundwater Level vs Rainfall (Figure 1 and 2) Drawing 1 – Test Location Plan (219536.00.R.008.D.001) Reviewed by

Scott McFarlane Principal

## Introduction

These notes have been provided to amplify Douglas' report in regard to classification methods, field procedures and the comments section. Not all are necessarily relevant to all reports.

Douglas' reports are based on information gained from limited subsurface excavations and sampling, supplemented by knowledge of local geology and experience. For this reason, they must be regarded as interpretive rather than factual documents, limited to some extent by the scope of information on which they rely.

# Copyright

This report is the property of Douglas Partners Pty Ltd. The report may only be used for the purpose for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the Engagement Terms for the commission supplied at the time of proposal. Unauthorised use of this report in any form whatsoever is prohibited.

## **Borehole and Test Pit Logs**

The borehole and test pit logs presented in this report are an engineering and/or geological interpretation of the subsurface conditions, and their reliability will depend to some extent on frequency of sampling and the method of drilling or excavation. Ideally, continuous undisturbed sampling or core drilling will provide the most reliable assessment, but this is not always practicable or possible to justify on economic grounds. In any case the boreholes and test pits represent only a very small sample of the total subsurface profile.

Interpretation of the information and its application to design and construction should therefore take into account the spacing of boreholes or pits, the frequency of sampling, and the possibility of other than 'straight line' variations between the test locations.

## Groundwater

Where groundwater levels are measured in boreholes there are several potential problems, namely:

- In low permeability soils groundwater may enter the hole very slowly or perhaps not at all during the time the hole is left open;
- A localised, perched water table may lead to an erroneous indication of the true water table;
- Water table levels will vary from time to time with seasons or recent weather

changes. They may not be the same at the time of construction as are indicated in the report; and

• The use of water or mud as a drilling fluid will mask any groundwater inflow. Water has to be blown out of the hole and drilling mud must first be washed out of the hole if water measurements are to be made.

More reliable measurements can be made by installing standpipes which are read at intervals over several days, or perhaps weeks for low permeability soils. Piezometers, sealed in a particular stratum, may be advisable in low permeability soils or where there may be interference from a perched water table.

#### Reports

The report has been prepared by qualified personnel, is based on the information obtained from field and laboratory testing, and has been undertaken to current engineering standards of interpretation and analysis. Where the report has been prepared for a specific design proposal, the information and interpretation may not be relevant if the design proposal is changed. If this happens, Douglas will be pleased to review the report and the sufficiency of the investigation work.

Every care is taken with the report as it relates to interpretation of subsurface conditions, discussion of geotechnical and environmental aspects, and recommendations or suggestions for design and construction. However, Douglas cannot always anticipate or assume responsibility for:

- Unexpected variations in ground conditions. The potential for this will depend partly on borehole or pit spacing and sampling frequency;
- Changes in policy or interpretations of policy by statutory authorities; or
- The actions of contractors responding to commercial pressures.

If these occur, Douglas will be pleased to assist with investigations or advice to resolve the matter.



# **About this Report**

# **Site Anomalies**

In the event that conditions encountered on site during construction appear to vary from those which were expected from the information contained in the report, Douglas requests that it be immediately notified. Most problems are much more readily resolved when conditions are exposed rather than at some later stage, well after the event.

## Information for Contractual Purposes

Where information obtained from this report is provided for tendering purposes, it is recommended that all information, including the written report and discussion, be made available. In circumstances where the discussion or comments section is not relevant to the contractual situation, it may be appropriate to prepare a specially edited document. Douglas would be pleased to assist in this regard and/or to make additional report copies available for contract purposes at a nominal charge.

# **Site Inspection**

The company will always be pleased to provide engineering inspection services for geotechnical and environmental aspects of work to which this report is related. This could range from a site visit to confirm that conditions exposed are as expected, to full time engineering presence on site.

intentionally blank



# **Terminology, Symbols and Abbreviations**



# Introduction to Terminology, Symbols and Abbreviations

Douglas Partners' reports, investigation logs, and other correspondence may use terminology which has quantitative or qualitative connotations. To remove ambiguity or uncertainty surrounding the use of such terms, the following sets of notes pages may be attached Douglas Partners' reports, depending on the work performed and conditions encountered:

- Soil Descriptions;
- Rock Descriptions; and
- Sampling, insitu testing, and drilling methodologies

In addition to these pages, the following notes generally apply to most documents.

#### Abbreviation Codes

Site conditions may also be presented in a number of different formats, such as investigation logs, field mapping, or as a written summary. In some of these formats textual or symbolic terminology may be presented using textual abbreviation codes or graphic symbols, and, where commonly used, these are listed alongside the terminology definition. For ease of identification in these note pages, textual codes are presented in these notes in the following style XW. Code usage conforms with the following guidelines:

- Textual codes are case insensitive, although herein they are generally presented in upper case; and
- Textual codes are contextual (i.e. the same or similar combinations of characters may be used in different contexts with different meanings (for example `PL` is used for plastic limit in the context of soil moisture condition, as well as in `PL(A)` for point load test result in the testing results column)).

#### Data Integrity Codes

Subsurface investigation data recorded by Douglas Partners is generally managed in a highly structured database environment, where records "span" between a top and bottom depth interval. Depth interval "gaps" between records are considered to introduce ambiguity, and, where appropriate, our practice guidelines may require contiguous data sets. Recording meaningful data is not always appropriate (for example assigning a "strength" to a concrete pavement) and the following codes may be used to maintain contiguity in such circumstances.

Term	Description	Abbreviation Code
Core loss	No core recovery	KL
Unknown	Information was not available to allow classification of the property. For example, when auguring in loose, saturated sand auger cuttings may not be returned.	UK
No data	Information required to allow classification of the property was not available. For example if drilling is commenced from the base of a hole predrilled by others	ND
Not Applicable	Derivation of the properties not appropriate or beyond the scope of the investigation. For example providing a description of the strength of a concrete pavement	NA

## Graphic Symbols

Douglas Partners' logs contain a "graphic" column which provides a pictorial representation of the basic composition of the material. The symbols used are directly representing the material name stated in the adjacent "Description of Strata" column, and as such no specific graphic symbology legend has been provided in these notes.

intentionally blank





#### Introduction

All materials which are not considered to be "in-situ rock" are described in general accordance with the soil description model of AS 1726-2017 Part 6.1.3, and can be broken down into the following description structure:



The "classification" comprises a two character "group symbol" providing a general summary of dominant soil characteristics. The "name" summarises the particle sizes within the soil which most influence its behaviour. The detailed description presents more information about composition, condition, structure, and origin of the soil.

Classification, naming and description of soils require the relative proportion of particles of different sizes within the whole soil mixture to be considered.

Particle size designation and Behaviour Model

Solid particles within a soil are differentiated on the basis of size.

The engineering behaviour properties of a soil can subsequently be modelled to be either "fine grained" (also known as "cohesive" behaviour) or "coarse grained" ("non cohesive" behaviour), depending on the relative proportion of fine or coarse fractions in the soil mixture.

<b>Particle Size</b>	Particle	Behaviour Model		
Designation	Size (mm)	Behaviour	Approximate Dry Mass	
Boulder	>200	Excluded fro	om particle	
Cobble	63 - 200	behaviour model as "oversize"		
Gravel <sup>1</sup>	2.36 - 63	Caaraa		
Sand <sup>1</sup>	0.075 - 2.36	Coarse	>65%	
Silt	0.002 - 0.075	Fine	>35%	
Clay	<0.002		~/0	

refer grain size subdivision descriptions below

The behaviour model boundaries defined above are not precise, and the material behaviour should be assumed from the name given to the material (which considers the particle fraction which dominates the behaviour, refer "component proportions" below), rather than strict observance of the proportions of particle sizes. For example, if a material is named a "Sandy CLAY", this is indicative that the material exhibits fine grained behaviour, even if the dry mass of coarse grained material may exceed 65%.

#### Component proportions

The relative proportion of the dry mass of each particle size fraction is assessed to be a "primary", "secondary", or "minor" component of the soil mixture, depending on its influence over the soil behaviour.

Component	Component Definition <sup>1</sup>		roportion
Proportion Designation		In Fine Grained Soil	In Coarse Grained Soil
Primary	The component (particle size designation, refer above) which dominates the engineering behaviour of the soil	The clay/silt component with the greater proportion	The sand/gravel component with the greater proportion
Secondary	Any component which is not the primary, but is significant to the engineering properties of the soil	Any component with greater than 30% proportion	Any granular component with greater than 30%; or Any fine component with greater than 12%
Minor <sup>2</sup>	Present in the soil, but not significant to its engineering properties	All other components	All other components

<sup>1</sup> As defined in AS1726-2017 6.1.4.4

<sup>2</sup> In the detailed material description, minor components are split into two further sub-categories. Refer "identification of minor components" below.

#### Composite Materials

In certain situations, a lithology description may describe more than one material, for example, collectively describing a layer of interbedded sand and clay. In such a scenario, the two materials would be described independently, with the names preceded or followed by a statement describing the arrangement by which the materials co-exist. For example, "INTERBEDDED Silty CLAY AND SAND".



# **Soil Descriptions**

# Classification

The soil classification comprises a two character group symbol. The first character identifies the primary component. The second character identifies either the grading or presence of fines in a coarse grained soil, or the plasticity in a fine grained soil. Refer AS1726-2017 6.1.6 for further clarification.

# Soil Name

For most soils, the name is derived with the primary component included as the noun (in upper case), preceded by any secondary components stated in an adjective form. In this way, the soil name also describes the general composition and indicates the dominant behaviour of the material.

Component	Prominence in Soil Name
Primary	Noun (eg "CLAY")
Secondary	Adjective modifier (eg "Sandy")
Minor	No influence

<sup>1</sup> – for determination of component proportions, refer component proportions on previous page

For materials which cannot be disaggregated, or which are not comprised of rock or mineral fragments, the names "ORGANIC MATTER" or "ARTIFICIAL MATERIAL" may be used, in accordance with AS1726-2017 Table 14.

Commercial or colloquial names are not used for the soil name where a component derived name is possible (for example "Gravelly SAND" rather than "CRACKER DUST").

Materials of "fill" or "topsoil" origin are generally assigned a name derived from the primary/secondary component (where appropriate). In log descriptions this is preceded by uppercase "FILL" or "TOPSOIL". Origin uncertainty is indicated in the description by the characters (?), with the degree of uncertainty described (using the terms "probably" or "possibly" in the origin column, or at the end of the description).

# Identification of minor components

Minor components are identified in the soil description immediately following the soil name. The minor component fraction is usually preceded with a term indicating the relative proportion of the component.

Minor Component	Relative Proportion			
Proportion Term	In Fine Grained Soil	In Coarse Grained Soil		
With	All fractions: 15-30%	Clay/silt: 5-12%		
		sand/gravel: 15-30%		
Trace	All fractions: 0-15%	Clay/silt: 0-5%		
		sand/gravel: 0-15%		

The terms "with" and "trace" generally apply only to gravel or fine particle fractions. Where cobbles/boulders are encountered in minor proportions (generally less than about 12%) the term "occasional" may be used. This term describes the sporadic distribution of the material within the confines of the investigation excavation only, and there may be considerable variation in proportion over a wider area which is difficult to factually characterise due to the relative size of the particles and the investigation methods.

# **Soil Composition**

Plasticity			<u>Grain Siz</u>	e			
Descriptive	Laboratory liquid limit range			Туре		Particle size (mm)	
Term	Silt	Clay	Gravel	Coarse		19 - 63	
Non-plastic	Not applicable	Not applicable		Mediur	n	6.7 - 19	
materials				Fine		2.36 – 6.7	
Low	≤50	≤35	Sand	Coarse		0.6 - 2.36	
plasticity				Medium		0.21 - 0.6	
Medium	Not applicable	>35 and ≤50		Fine		0.075 - 0.21	
plasticity							
High	>50	>50	Grading Grading Term Particle size (mm)				
plasticity						Particle size (mm)	
			W/ell		Δα	ood representation of all	

Note, Plasticity descriptions generally describe the plasticity behaviour of the whole of the fine grained soil, not individual fine grained fractions.

Grading	
Grading Term	Particle size (mm)
Well	A good representation of all particle sizes
Poorly	An excess or deficiency of particular sizes within the specified range
Uniformly	Essentially of one size
Сар	A deficiency of a particular size or size range within the total range

Note, AS1726-2017 provides terminology for additional attributes not listed here.



# **Soil Condition**

#### <u>Moisture</u>

The moisture condition of soils is assessed relative to the plastic limit for fine grained soils, while for coarse grained soils it is assessed based on the appearance and feel of the material. The moisture condition of a material is considered to be independent of stratigraphy (although commonly these are related), and this data is presented in its own column on logs.

Applicability	Term	Tactile Assessment	Abbreviation code
Fine	Dry of plastic limit	Hard and friable or powdery	w <pl< td=""></pl<>
	Near plastic limit	Can be moulded	w=PL
	Wet of plastic limit	Water residue remains on hands when handling	w>PL
	Near liquid limit	"oozes" when agitated	w=LL
Wet of liquid limit		"oozes"	w>LL
Coarse	Dry	Non-cohesive and free running	D
	Moist	Feels cool, darkened in colour, particles may stick together	М
	Wet	Feels cool, darkened in colour, particles may stick together, free water forms when handling	W

The abbreviation code NDF, meaning "not-assessable due to drilling fluid use" may also be used. Note, observations relating to free ground water or drilling fluids are provided independent of soil moisture condition.

#### Consistency/Density/Compaction/Cementation/Extremely Weathered Material

These concepts give an indication of how the material may respond to applied forces (when considered in conjunction with other attributes of the soil). This behaviour can vary independent of the composition of the material, and on logs these are described in an independent column and are generally mutually exclusive (i.e. it is inappropriate to describe both consistency and compaction at the same time). The method by which the behaviour is described depends on the behaviour model and other characteristics of the soil as follows:

- In fine grained soils, the "consistency" describes the ease with which the soil can be remoulded, and is generally correlated against the materials undrained shear strength;
- In granular materials, the relative density describes how tightly packed the particles are, and is generally correlated against the density index;
- In anthropogenically modified materials, the compaction of the material is described qualitatively;
- In cemented soils (both natural and anthropogenic), the cemented "strength" is described qualitatively, relative to the difficulty with which the material is disaggregated; and
- In soils of extremely weathered material origin, the engineering behaviour may be governed by relic rock features, and expected behaviour needs to be assessed based the overall material description.

Quantitative engineering performance of these materials may be determined by laboratory testing or estimated by correlated field tests (for example penetration or shear vane testing). In some cases, performance may be assessed by tactile or other subjective methods, in which case investigation logs will show the estimated value enclosed in round brackets, for example (VS).

Consistency	Tactile Assessment	Undrained	Abbreviation
Term		Shear	Code
		Strength (kPa)	
Very soft	Extrudes between fingers when squeezed	<12	VS
Soft	Mouldable with light finger pressure	>12 - ≤25	S
Firm	Mouldable with strong finger pressure	>25 - ≤50	F
Stiff	Cannot be moulded by fingers	>50 - ≤100	St
Very stiff	Indented by thumbnail	>100 - ≤200	VSt
Hard	Indented by thumbnail with difficulty	>200	Н
Friable	Easily crumbled or broken into small pieces by hand	-	Fr

Consistency (fine grained soils)

Relative Density (coarse grained soils)

<b>Relative Density Term</b>	Density Index	Abbreviation Code
Very loose	<15	VL
Loose	>15 - ≤35	L
Medium dense	>35 - ≤65	MD
Dense	>65 - ≤85	D
Very dense	>85	VD

Note, tactile assessment of relative density is difficult, and generally requires penetration testing, hence a tactile assessment guide is not provided.



# **Soil Descriptions**

Compaction	anthrono	aonically	modified soil)	
Compaction	lancinopoi	gerncany	mounieu sonj	

Compaction Term	Abbreviation Code	
Well compacted	WC	
Poorly compacted	PC	
Moderately compacted	MC	
Variably compacted	VC	

#### Cementation (natural and anthropogenic)

Cementation Term	Abbreviation Code
Moderately cemented	MOD
Weakly cemented	WEK

# Extremely Weathered Material

AS1726-2017 considers weathered material to be soil if the unconfined compressive strength is less than 0.6 MPa (i.e. less than very low strength rock). These materials may be identified as "extremely weathered material" in reports and by the abbreviation code XWM on log sheets. This identification is not correlated to any specific qualitative or quantitative behaviour, and the engineering properties of this material must therefore be assessed according to engineering principles with reference to any relic rock structure, fabric, or texture described in the description.

## Soil Origin

Term Description		Abbreviation Code
Residual	Derived from in-situ weathering of the underlying rock	
Extremely weathered material	Formed from in-situ weathering of geological formations. Has rial strength of less than 'very low' as per as1726 but retains the structure or fabric of the parent rock.	
Alluvial	Deposited by streams and rivers	ALV
Fluvial	Deposited by channel fill and overbank (natural levee, crevasse splay or flood basin)	
Estuarine Deposited in coastal estuaries		EST
Marine	Deposited in a marine environment	MAR
Lacustrine Deposited in freshwater lakes		LAC
Aeolian	Aeolian Carried and deposited by wind	
Colluvial	Colluvial Soil and rock debris transported down slopes by gravity	
Slopewash Thin layers of soil and rock debris gradually and slowly deposited by gravity and possibly water		SW
Topsoil Mantle of surface soil, often with high levels of organic material		TOP
Fill	Fill Any material which has been moved by man	
Littoral	Littoral Deposited on the lake or seashore	
Unidentifiable Not able to be identified		UID

# **Cobbles and Boulders**

The presence of particles considered to be "oversize" may be described using one of the following strategies:

- Oversize encountered in a minor proportion (when considered relative to the wider area) are noted in the soil description; or
- Where a significant proportion of oversize is encountered, the cobbles/boulders are described independent of the soil description, in a similar manner to composite soils (described above) but qualified with "MIXTURE OF".

intentionally blank







# Sampling and Testing

A record of samples retained, and field testing performed is usually shown on a Douglas Partners' log with samples appearing to the left of a depth scale, and selected field and laboratory testing (including results, where relevant) appearing to the right of the scale, as illustrated below:



#### <u>Sampling</u>

The type or intended purpose for which a sample was taken is indicated by the following abbreviation codes.

Sample Type	Code	
Auger sample	A	
Acid Sulfate sample	ASS	
Bulk sample	В	
Core sample	С	
Disturbed sample	D	
Environmental sample	ES	
Driven Tube sample	DT	
Gas sample	G	
Piston sample	Ρ	
Sample from SPT test	SPT	
Undisturbed tube sample	U	
Water sample	$\mathbf{W}$	
Material Sample	MT	
Core sample for unconfined	UCS	
compressive strength testing		

<sup>1</sup> - numeric suffixes indicate tube diameter/width in mm

The above codes only indicate that a sample was retained, and not that testing was scheduled or performed.

#### Field and Laboratory Testing

A record that field and laboratory testing was performed is indicated by the following abbreviation codes.

Test Type	Code
Pocket penetrometer (kPa)	PP
Photo ionisation detector (ppm)	PID
Standard Penetration Test	SPT
x/y = x blows for y mm	
penetration	
HB = hammer bouncing	
HW = fell under weight of	
hammer	
Shear vane (kPa)	

Unconfined compressive	UCS
strength, (MPa)	

Field and laboratory testing (continued)

Test Type	Code
Point load test, (MPa),	PLT(_)
axial (A) , diametric (D) ,	
irregular (I)	
Dynamic cone penetrometer,	DCP9/150
followed by blow count	``
penetration increment in mm	
(cone tip, generally in	
accordance with AS1289.6.3.2)	
Perth sand penetrometer,	PSP/150
followed by blow count	
penetration increment in mm	
(flat tip, generally in accordance	
with AS1289.6.3.3)	

#### **Groundwater Observations**

$\triangleright$	seepage/inflow	
	standing or observed water level	
NFGWO	no free groundwater observed	
OBS	observations obscured by drilling	
	fluids	

#### **Drilling or Excavation Methods/Tools**

The drilling/excavation methods used to perform the investigation may be shown either in a dedicated column down the left-hand edge of the log, or stated in the log footer. In some circumstances abbreviation codes may be used.

Method	Abbreviation Code		
Direct Push	DP		
Solid flight auger. Suffixes:	AD <sup>1</sup>		
/T = tungsten carbide tip,			
/V = v-shaped tip			
Air Track	AT		
Diatube	DT <sup>1</sup>		
Hand auger	HA <sup>1</sup>		
Hand tools (unspecified)	HAND		
Existing exposure	X		
Hollow flight auger	HSA <sup>1</sup>		
HQ coring	HQ3		
HMLC series coring	HMLC		
NMLC series coring	NMLC		
NQ coring	NQ3		
PQ coring	PQ3		
Predrilled	PD		
Push tube	PT <sup>1</sup>		
Ripping tyne/ripper	R		
Rock roller	RR <sup>1</sup>		
Rock breaker/hydraulic	EH		
hammer			
Sonic drilling	SON <sup>1</sup>		
Mud/blade bucket	MB <sup>1</sup>		
Toothed bucket	TB1		
Vibrocore	VC1		
Vacuum excavation	VE		
Wash bore (unspecified bit	WB1		
type)			

<sup>1</sup> – numeric suffixes indicate tool diameter/width in mm











CLIENT:	NT: Allam MHE Developments No 2 Pty Ltd		TITLE:	Test Location Plan
OFFICE:	Newcastle	DRAWN BY: JCL		Proposed Manufactured Housing Estate
SCALE: 1:	5000 @A3	DATE: 09.September.2024		40-80 & 82 Chapmans Road, Tuncurry NSW

ICURRY, 40-80 Chapmans Rd, Groundwater\7.0 Drawings\7.2 Out\219536.00.qgz